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# Tax-free Savings Accounts <br> (introduced 1 March 2015) 

No tax liabilities; i.e. no income tax, dividend withholding tax, and capital gains tax will be levied on investment growth and withdrawals.

## Part 1:

The return benefits across various investment portfolios and time periods

## Part 1:

## Basic assumptions of model to calculate return benefits (enhancement of returns due to zero tax liabilities)

Annual contribution ..... 30,000
Maximum contribution over lifetime ..... 500,000
Contribution pattern
First 16 years ..... 30,000
17th year ..... 20,000
thereafter ..... nil
Distribution assumptions:
Gross dividend yield ..... 3\%
Gross interest rate p.a. ..... 7\%

## Part 1:

Basic assumptions of model to calculate return benefits (enhancement of returns due to no tax liabilities)

- Interest-bearing investments (cash, bonds, property): $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ taxable, at marginal income tax rate
- Marginal tax rates applicable (tax year 2015/2016): 18\%, 26\%, 31\%, 36\%, 39\%, 41\%
- Equity investments: Dividend withholding tax rate of $\mathbf{1 5 \%}$
- Capital gains: Proceeds less contributions less interest and dividends (capital growth)
- Capital gains tax: Capital gains x 33.3\% (inclusion rate) x marginal tax rate


## Part 1:

## Tax-free savings account versus discretionary investment Relevant factors: marginal tax rate and holding period

Outperformance of tax-free savings account over different holding periods due to tax savings

| Scenario 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 100\% Interest-bearing investments only |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Expected return | 7\% |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Marginal tax rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Investment period (years) | 18\% | 26\% | 31\% | 36\% | 39\% | 41\% |
| 5 | 4\% | 5\% | 7\% | 8\% | 8\% | 9\% |
| 10 | 7\% | 11\% | 13\% | 15\% | 17\% | 18\% |
| 15 | 11\% | 17\% | 20\% | 24\% | 26\% | 28\% |
| 20 | 17\% | 26\% | 32\% | 38\% | 41\% | 44\% |
| 25 | 25\% | 37\% | 46\% | 55\% | 61\% | 65\% |
| 30 | 32\% | 50\% | 62\% | 75\% | 83\% | 89\% |

## Part 1:

## Tax-free savings account versus discretionary investment Relevant factors: marginal tax rate and holding period

Outperformance of tax-free savings account over different holding periods due to tax savings


## Part 1:

## Tax-free savings account versus discretionary investment Relevant factors: marginal tax rate and holding period

Outperformance of tax-free savings account over different holding periods due to tax savings

| Scenario 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 50\% equities, 50\% interest-bearing |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Expected return | 10\% |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Marginal tax rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Investment period (years) | 18\% | 26\% | 31\% | 36\% | 39\% | 41\% |
| 5 | 3\% | 4\% | 5\% | 6\% | 6\% | 6\% |
| 10 | 6\% | 8\% | 10\% | 11\% | 12\% | 12\% |
| 15 | 9\% | 13\% | 15\% | 17\% | 18\% | 19\% |
| 20 | 14\% | 19\% | 22\% | 25\% | 27\% | 29\% |
| 25 | 19\% | 26\% | 30\% | 35\% | 38\% | 40\% |
| 30 | 24\% | 33\% | 39\% | 45\% | 49\% | 51\% |

## Part 1:

## Tax-free savings account versus discretionary investment Relevant factors: marginal tax rate and holding period

Outperformance of tax-free savings account over different holding periods due to tax savings

| Scenario 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 75\% equities, $25 \%$ interest-bearing |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Expected return | 11\% |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Marginal tax rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Investment period (years) | 18\% | 26\% | 31\% | 36\% | 39\% | 41\% |
| 5 | 3\% | 4\% | 4\% | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% |
| 10 | 6\% | 7\% | 8\% | 9\% | 10\% | 10\% |
| 15 | 8\% | 11\% | 12\% | 14\% | 15\% | 15\% |
| 20 | 12\% | 15\% | 17\% | 20\% | 21\% | 22\% |
| 25 | 16\% | 20\% | 23\% | 26\% | 28\% | 29\% |
| 30 | 19\% | 25\% | 29\% | 32\% | 35\% | 36\% |

## Part 1:

## Tax-free savings account versus discretionary investment Relevant factors: marginal tax rate and holding period

Outperformance of tax-free savings account over different holding periods due to tax savings

| Scenario 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 100\% equities only |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Expected return | 12\% |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Marginal tax rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Investment period (years) | 18\% | 26\% | 31\% | 36\% | 39\% | 41\% |
| 5 | 3\% | 3\% | 4\% | 4\% | 4\% | 4\% |
| 10 | 5\% | 6\% | 6\% | 7\% | 8\% | 8\% |
| 15 | 7\% | 8\% | 9\% | 10\% | 11\% | 11\% |
| 20 | 10\% | 12\% | 13\% | 14\% | 15\% | 15\% |
| 25 | 13\% | 15\% | 16\% | 17\% | 18\% | 19\% |
| 30 | 15\% | 17\% | 19\% | 20\% | 21\% | 22\% |

## Summary:

The higher one's marginal tax rate and the longer the investment will be held, the greater the return benefits will be...interest-bearing investments will have the most direct tax benefits....


But one must consider also the most likely portfolio return outcomes over different holding periods...the long-term investor will most likely be best served by equity-related investments...


But how certain is that? The tax savings are relatively certain, but the actual returns from especially equity investments are not. For further clarity, check Part 2 for possible answers...

## Part 2: What investment portfolio to use for the tax-free savings account?

Consider the expected outcome (in rand) if the following returns for the different portfolios will materialise:
$100 \%$ interest-bearing investment only $-7 \%$ p.a.
$75 \%$ interest-bearing, $25 \%$ equities $-8 \%$ p.a.
50\% interest-bearing, $50 \%$ equities $-10 \%$ p.a.
$25 \%$ interest-bearing, $75 \%$ equities $-11 \%$ p.a.
$100 \%$ equities investment only $-12 \%$ p.a.

| Holding period | 5 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 25 | 30 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $100 \%$ interest | 184,645 | 444,426 | 808,970 | $1,200,275$ | $1,685,208$ | $2,371,302$ |
| $25 \%$ equities; $75 \%$ interest | 189,426 | 467,932 | 881,253 | $1,369,304$ | $2,020,787$ | $2,949,365$ |
| $50 \%$ equities; $50 \%$ interest | 200,419 | 525,092 | $1,060,691$ | $1,788,178$ | $2,875,515$ | $4,664,564$ |
| $75 \%$ equities; $25 \%$ interest | 206,764 | 561,612 | $1,163,009$ | $2,037,884$ | $3,420,661$ | $5,750,045$ |
| $100 \%$ equities | 214,582 | 597,509 | $1,263,474$ | $2,322,142$ | $4,124,599$ | $7,003,520$ |

But equity returns do not accumulate in a straight line (not linear) - many outcomes are possible. A simulation of possible outcomes will shed light on the probabilities that certain outcomes will materialise over time.

For this simulation experiment I used the following return parameters:

| Portfolio | Average return | Standard Deviation of return |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 100\% interest-bearing | $7 \%$ | $4 \%$ |
| $75 \%$ interest-bearing, 25\% equities | $8 \%$ | $8 \%$ |
| $50 \%$ interest-bearing, 50\% equities | $10 \%$ | $12 \%$ |
| $25 \%$ interest-bearing, $75 \%$ equities | $11 \%$ | $16 \%$ |
| $100 \%$ equities | $12 \%$ | $20 \%$ |

In this scenario $50 \%$ of all outcomes will be better than the one shown here (median or midpoint)...

## Based on 1,000 simulations

| Holding period (years) | 5 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 25 | 30 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $100 \%$ interest | 184,539 | 442,274 | 802,268 | $1,185,564$ | $1,669,439$ | $2,305,599$ |
| $25 \%$ equities; $75 \%$ interest | 189,136 | 463,027 | 859,736 | $1,323,726$ | $1,922,764$ | $2,771,688$ |
| $50 \%$ equities; $50 \%$ interest | 201,130 | 514,827 | $1,014,380$ | $1,634,883$ | $2,511,181$ | $4,037,652$ |
| $75 \%$ equities; $25 \%$ interest | 204,435 | 531,454 | $1,056,336$ | $1,738,840$ | $2,822,849$ | $4,505,206$ |
| $100 \%$ equities | 207,429 | 550,084 | $1,123,389$ | $1,972,699$ | $3,159,887$ | $5,169,639$ |

It seems that equity-related investment portfolios should be the preferred choice when investing for holding periods of five years and more...but what if really bad return outcomes occur (worst-case return scenarios)?

Where $75 \%$ of all outcomes will be better ( $25^{\text {th }}$ percentile)...that point representing the worst $25 \%$ of simulated outcomes...

Based on 1,000 simulations

| Holding period (years) | 5 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 25 | 30 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $100 \%$ interest | 177,185 | 419,334 | 752,161 | $1,092,487$ | $1,509,634$ | $2,066,248$ |
| $25 \%$ equities; $75 \%$ interest | 173,796 | 415,907 | 759,114 | $1,133,344$ | $1,575,441$ | $2,238,299$ |
| $50 \%$ equities; $50 \%$ interest | 179,724 | 445,813 | 824,943 | $1,271,236$ | $1,867,270$ | $2,779,820$ |
| $75 \%$ equities; $25 \%$ interest | 172,739 | 423,856 | 810,806 | $1,273,436$ | $1,899,272$ | $2,798,789$ |
| $100 \%$ equities | 174,381 | 418,149 | 803,969 | $1,219,277$ | $1,842,815$ | $2,781,149$ |

Or, where $90 \%$ of all outcomes will be better ( $10^{\text {th }}$ percentile)...that point representing the worst $10 \%$ of simulated outcomes!

Based on 1,000 simulations

| Holding period (years) | 5 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 25 | 30 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $100 \%$ interest | 171,717 | 396,922 | 705,712 | $1,006,131$ | $1,372,047$ | $1,862,595$ |
| $25 \%$ equities; $75 \%$ interest | 161,307 | 382,229 | 677,579 | 983,345 | $1,294,220$ | $1,802,256$ |
| $50 \%$ equities; $50 \%$ interest | 160,155 | 381,853 | 704,571 | $1,026,934$ | $1,422,897$ | $2,108,665$ |
| $75 \%$ equities; $25 \%$ interest | 151,073 | 356,706 | 638,275 | 965,558 | $1,303,140$ | $1,850,772$ |
| $100 \%$ equities | 148,666 | 321,214 | 577,618 | 843,360 | $1,183,425$ | $1,666,803$ |

## Synopsis:

- If you are a bit of a market return sceptic, (believing poor returns do follow your investments!) then it is not a foregone conclusion that equity-dominant portfolios should be the best portfolio choice, even for long-term holding periods!
- However, I would not build my recommendations on worst-case scenarios like the $10^{\text {th }}$ percentile outcome - that is too pessimistic given the historical precedent of market returns spanning well over hundred years. I would give some consideration to the $25^{\text {th }}$ percentile outcome and what portfolios did well in those market conditions.
- For my money I would follow this strategy: For planned investment periods of shorter than $\mathbf{1 0}$ years, invest the bulk of your investments in interest-bearing investments, and equities should typically not exceed more than $50 \%$ of the portfolio (e.g. low-equity multi-asset or absolute return mandates). For holding periods of $\mathbf{1 0}$ to $\mathbf{2 0}$ years, invest $50-\mathbf{7 5 \%}$ in equities (high-equity multi-asset portfolios, and for holding periods longer than that, perhaps $100 \%$ in equities.
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